	Case 2:04-cv-01337-DFL-CMK	Document 121	Filed 09/22/06	Page 1 of 2
1				
2				
3				
4				
5				
6				
7				
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10				
11	JAMES SANFORD,	No.	Civ. S-04-13	337 DFL CMK
12	Plaintiff,		ORDE	R
13	v.			
14	DEL TACO, INC. dba DEL TACO #140; YUTAKA MIURA and MARY MIURA; and DOES 1 through 10,			
15				
16	Defendant.			
17		/		
18				
19	JAMES SANFORD,	No	Civ. S-06-16	SS CFR CCH
20	Plaintiff,	110.	CIV. 5 00 IC	JJJ GED GGII
21	v.			
22	DEL TACO, INC. dba DEL TACO			
23	#140; YUTAKA MIURA and MARY			
24	MIURA; and DOES 1 through 10),		
25	Defendant.	/		
26				
27				
28				
		1		

Examination of the above-entitled actions reveals that they are not related within the meaning of Local Rule 83-123(a) (E.D. Cal. 1997). Although the two cases involve the same parties, counsel and premises, the issues they present are entirely distinct. Therefore, the court finds that the two cases are NOT RELATED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: September 22, 2006

/s/ David F. Levi_

DAVID F. LEVI United States District Judge